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ABSTRACT

A thorough dental prosthetic treatment must meet all three medico-biological criteria. Dental aesthetics becomes worthless if dentures do not meet the functional requirements. Therefore, the study of masticatory efficiency proves essential in the evaluation of dental prosthetic treatment. Based on recent literature review, objective methods for examining the masticatory function and choice of sample material were analysed.
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INTRODUCTION

Mastication is the process by which food is dispersed into smaller particles, thus increasing its surface area (8). As chewing continues, the food mixed with saliva reaches body temperature while turning it into a bolus. At the same time, pleasant sensations delivered by taste and smell satisfy basic human necessities.

Mastication comprises complex mechanical and physiological processes whereby all the parts of the chewing apparatus are involved. This makes it rather difficult to objectively study the masticatory function. Attempts for such a study have been carried out since the beginning of the last century onwards (23). Some researchers (1,3,4,7,19,20) still continue to explore new methods in order to clarify this fundamental issue in prosthetics.

The purpose of the present review is to discuss the characteristics of various types of sample foods and criteria for selection of one or another kind of test material and to display their application in certain methods of objective examination of the masticatory function.

Reviewing Bulgarian dental literature failed to identify any publications devoted to test materials. On the contrary, the number of predominantly experimental studies published by authors from Brazil, Japan and Germany permanently increases (3,5,9,15,19).

Objective methods for the study of masticatory function provide specific and reliable parameters for the nature of the masticatory process. This is of importance for assessing the condition of patient’s orofacial complex prior to treatment and for evaluating the outcome of prosthetic treatment as well.

Five quantitative parameters are tested such as maximum bite force, occlusive force, total number of masticatory cycles, chewing duration (in seconds) and total muscle strength (EMG area) (14). The objective methods for the examination of the masticatory function combine various techniques of precise laboratory studies by using two main types of...
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Food samples that meet the requirements of an objective test (3,5,8-10,15,19,21).

The first type of food sample is artificial (non-edible) test material (5,19), e.g. ‘Optocal’, ‘Optocal plus’, ‘Optosil’ (Brazilian version) (Table 1 and Fig. 1) (19).

Another option for sample food is Permalastic, Kep Corporation, Orange, California, USA. It is a kind of soft rubber with dimensions 10x10x5 mm polysulphide matrix.

The detailed description found in the literature of the composition and properties of artificial test materials suggests the following advantages:

- their structure is stable, uniform and consistent (Table 1);
- these test materials possess mechanical properties comparable to those of natural foods;
- substantial advantage is that they do not dissolve in water or saliva and can be fragmented by chewing. Moreover, they do not break with the predefined error limit.
- they have neither taste, nor smell. They are standardized and could be stored without any quality loss.

One of the most significant disadvantages of artificial food boluses is their inability to be absorbed by the body and, therefore, not to be swallowed. This characteristics does not allow the performance of the study for the whole masticatory cycle, i.e. from biting to swallowing the food. Another disadvantage is the need of equipment and materials for their production. This results in another negative consequence, i.e. a high cost price.

Artificial sample foods are used in some objective methods associated with determining the percentage volume of masticated test material and assessed using a sieve system following a certain number of masticatory cycles. Artificial sample foods are also applied in electromyography (EMG) (7,23). This method measures the bioelectrical potential of muscles (m. orbicularis oris, m. digastricus, m. myohyoideus, m. geniohyoideus, etc.) (17).

Sample food texture is of importance when using this method. With a solid test material (natural

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Trademark</th>
<th>Percentage by weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>condensation silicon</td>
<td>Optosil Comfort®, Heraeus Kulzer GmbH &amp; Co., KG, Germany</td>
<td>58,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conventional tooth paste</td>
<td>Colgate-Palmolive, Co., Osasco-SP, Brazil</td>
<td>7,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solid vaseline</td>
<td>Rioquímica, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil</td>
<td>11,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>common powder of dental plaster</td>
<td>Mossoró®, Empresa e Indústria Gesso Mossoró SA, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil</td>
<td>10,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alginate powder</td>
<td>Jeltrate®, Dentsply Indústria e Comércio Ltda., Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil</td>
<td>12,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mint essence</td>
<td>Yod Comércio de produtos naturais LTDA., Campinas, SP, Brazil</td>
<td>3 drops</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Tablets of 12 mm in diameter and 5 mm in height - silicon-based chewable test material (19)
or artificial), data are recorded for 60 seconds, whereas with liquid or paste sample food - for more than 10 and 20 seconds, respectively.

Colourimetry also uses artificial test materials containing coloured component (magenta) (18). It is quantitatively derived in nm Du-640 by a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Fig. 2) (3).

Artificial sample foods are used by graphical methods (kinesiography). For this purpose, an electromagnetic device associated with prosthesis was developed (13).

Natural resources represent the second type of test materials (9,15,20). The natural sample foods possess numerous essential advantages. They allow monitoring the whole masticatory cycle - from biting to swallowing. This enables the use of a certain method of determining the percentage volume of masticated food, namely the internationally recognized standardized system using a sieve with filter paper, whereby samples are washed and sieved through 5 sieves of 2,0, 1,0, 0,71, 0,5, and 0,25 mm of size. The particles pass through two successive sieves, indicating lower and upper margin and are assigned fractionation size. Peanuts are primarily used with this method. They are relatively uniform in size which facilitates standardization and can be used without any prior preparation. Another benefit of peanuts is that they are frequently consumed and people are accustomed to chewing them. In Bulgarian literature, these methods are known as dynamic functional methods by Hristenzen (1923), Gelman (1932) and Rubinov (1956), or more popularly known as the chewing sample method. All of them utilize some kind of natural sample food such as hazelnuts, almonds or coconuts, etc. (8).

Another important advantage of this type of test materials is its naturally occurring dyes and colourings (necessary for colourimetry) such as raw carrots or beetroots. Natural dye can be determined spectrophotometrically at 530 nm. This technique is preferred as it requires minimum amount of test materials, equipment and time, hence test advantages of natural foods. Equipment is not necessary for their preparation, which makes them much more accessible. They are of low price and, therefore, of a wide application range.

Conveniently, these foods produce taste and olfactory stimulus affecting the rate of chewing and swallowing. They are soluble in fluids and saliva, which in turn helps food bolus formation.

The analysis of foreign literature sources reveals that natural test materials originate from foods exerting well-known positive effects on patient’s health as well as strong preventive effects concerning socially significant diseases such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, etc. (9,14).

The main disadvantage of these foods is that their mechanical properties can vary as early as the first two or three seconds of the first masticatory cycle, owing to the interaction with the oral cavity. Moreover, they are not standardized in terms of shape, size, and solidity. It is noteworthy that the water content in saliva leads to texture modification. Furthermore, it can’t be stored for longer periods of time due to changes in their properties. The natural foods most preferred for tests are the following: peanuts, almonds, cocoa, carrot, beetroot, jelly and decaffeinated coffee beans (9,15,20).

The graphic method, i.e. masticatography and kinesiography is a widely used and quite accurate objective method for examination of the masticatory efficiency (5,8). The study of the masticatory process suggests the use of duplicate dentures with metal occlusal surfaces (1,4,6). For the purpose of reducing

![Fig. 2. Photocolourimeter after Hoeven and Kaiser (MPS2A Clinisystem)](image-url)
the error factor, a prosthesis with acrylic teeth is used and, therefore, the different masticatory efficiency is due to the different materials used for acrylic denture teeth (Fig. 3) (20). Both types of test materials were applied in this experiment.

Fig. 3. Complete dentures with metal occlusal posterior teeth

An objective method of studying the masticatory process was suggested long ago (12). Using an extensometer device data were recorded from sensors in an artificial tooth of the prosthesis placed in the first molar, first premolar and second premolar. The test was carried out during mastication on shredded coconut, peanuts and raisins.

An extraoral sound transmission method was applied to measure the mechanical coupling during occlusion (11).

DISCUSSION

No universal test material for examining the masticatory function has been recognized and established yet. There does not exist any easily applicable method appropriate for clinical use. Therefore, the choice of a test material entirely depends on the purpose of the study, the state of the masticatory apparatus of the examined patient, either with a prosthesis, or edentulous, the masticatory cycle stage under examination as well as on the method used for food analysis.

Patient’s capacity to grind or crush the test material by means of natural or artificial dentition is the result of complex interactions between direct and indirect forces such as number of functional units (teeth and muscles) and size of the area and dental occlusal surfaces. Therefore, the study of the masticatory function is crucial for assessing the success of prosthetic rehabilitation and patients’ satisfaction concerning their masticatory abilities and associated choice of diet.

CONCLUSION

In recent years, emphasis during scientific forums and postgraduate training courses was made on numerous attempts towards ‘smile design’. The importance of function and the goal for optimal performance for all denture patients was overlooked. There is a growing need for development and implementation of modern methods of diagnosis and investigation of the masticatory function providing the adequate and objective evaluation of the masticatory apparatus.
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