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ABSTRACT

Eosinophilic fascitis is a rare sclerodermatous disorder with controversial etiology and pathogenesis. Constellation of clinical features and laboratory abnormalities establishes the diagnosis: deep induration of the subcutaneous tissue, flexion joint contractures, high eosinophil count in blood and bone marrow, characteristic histologic findings, arthralgia, myalgia, negative visceral involvement, negative Raynaud phenomenon, history of excessive physical exercise, therapeutic sensitivity to corticosteroids and characteristic MRI findings. The mainstay of treatment are systemic corticosteroids and early intervention is usually effective with a benign course of the disease. Refractory cases may require immunosuppressive or alternative agents.
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INTRODUCTION

Eosinophilic fascitis (EF) is an uncommon connective tissue disease with sclerodermic skin changes, inflammation of the muscle fascia, peripheral eosinophilia, hypergamma-globulinemia and elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate. Initially described by Shulman in 1974 (1), the disease still remains controversial regarding the etiology, pathogenesis and its distinctive nature. Currently, over 250 patients have been reported in the literature, but large case series are few and the understanding of main aspects of this disorder is yet to be elucidated (2). This review discusses the clinical, pathological and laboratory implications of EF, as well as the importance to consider this disease among the differential diagnoses of the more common, clinically similar dermatological and rheumatologic entities.

Case report

A 69-year old woman presented to our clinic because of a failure of her erysipelas treatment. Three days after excessive physical exercise she felt a strong pain in her right elbow with malaise and fever. Two days later, erythema and oedema occurred first over the elbow region and later on progressed to involve the whole distal part of the arm. The patient felt stiffness of the elbow joint. Treatment for erysipelas with antibiotics, antipyretics and antiinflammatories was started with a poor outcome. Physical examination revealed unilateral induration of the affected skin with a peau d'orange appearance and total immobilization of the elbow joint (Fig.1, Fig.2). The other body parts were spared and the patient was otherwise well. A full-thickness incisional biopsy specimen showed sclerotic reticular dermis with a focal infiltration with lymphocytes, plasma cells and histiocytes; the underlying fascia was thickened (Fig.3). The blood eosinophils count and the erythrocyte sedimentation rate were elevated. Based on these clinical and laboratory findings, the diagnosis of EF was made, and Methylprednisolon 60mg/daily

Fig. 1. Induration of the left arm with contracture of the elbow joint.
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was started. Clinical improvement occurred rapidly and the changes resolved fully in the course of two months.

**Etiology and pathogenesis**

The etiology of EF remains obscure and many factors have been proposed so far with supporting evidence of a variable consistency. In half of the cases the onset of the disease is triggered by intense physical exercise or trauma (3,4). Multiple drugs and chemical agents, including L-tryptophan (4), phenytoin (5), simvastatin (6) and trichloroethylene (7), have also been implicated in the pathogenesis of EF. Causal association has been proposed for Borrelia based on the positive serology in several patients, but recent studies of Borrelia-specific DNA in the lesions failed to prove a connection (8). The authors suggested that the Borrelia-positive serology presents an epiphenomenon resulting from the high level of infection in the endemic areas.

![Fig. 2. Induration of the skin below the elbow with a peau d’orange appearance. The stitches indicate the site of the biopsy.](image)

The etiologic factor initiates an inflammatory response in the affected tissues with recruitment of inflammatory cells and release of cytokines stimulating fibroblasts to synthesize collagen and other matrix proteins. Increased levels of IL-5, a potent activator of mature eosinophils, interferon-gamma, activator of tissue macrophages and T-cells, and TGF-beta, a factor stimulating fibroblasts for excessive production of procollagen, have been detected in patients with EF (9,4). In situ hybridization showed that fibroblasts from lesion skin express in excess type I collagen mRNA and TGF-beta in the fascia of patients with EF (10).

**Clinical features**

EF may occur at any age, but is most common between the 3rd and 6th decade. Both sexes are equally affected (3,11). Slight racial predilection towards Caucasians is observed. The disease may start with prodromal symptoms, such as malaise, arthralgia, myalgia, fever. These symptoms precede with weeks to months the cutaneous manifestation or develop concomitantly (11).

**Table 1. Diagnostic criteria for eosinophilic fasciitis (11)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic criteria for EF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deep induration of the subcutaneous tissue, sparing face and distal extremities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion joint contractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High eosinophil count in blood and bone marrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased ESR and gammaglobulins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic histological findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia, arthralgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative visceral involvement; negative Raynaud phenomenon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiation after excessive physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic MRI findings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cutaneous manifestations (4,3)**

Cutaneous lesions develop in three stages in the course of one to several days. Patients first present with rapid onset of erythematous swelling and nonpitting oedema that evolve into peau d'orange or cobblestone appearance with venous furrowing. The final stage is characterized by woody induration and fibrosis. Other cutaneous changes that may rarely occur include urticaria, bullae, alopecia, hypo- and hyperpigmentation. Upper and lower extremities are most often involved, but fingers and toes are always spared. The face and the body are very rarely affected. Symmetrical involvement is the rule but unilateral EF, similar to our patient, has also been reported (12).

**Extracutaneous manifestations (4,3)**

The most common extracutaneous finding is joint stiffness that progresses to joint contractures secondary to induration and fibrosis of the subcutaneous tissue (50-75% of patients). Wrist, elbow and knee joints are most commonly affected. Arthritis (in roughly 40% of the patients) and carpal tunnel syndrome (16-23% of the patients) can either precede or follow the skin findings. Reports of visceral involvement are very limited and visceral symptoms warrant consideration of an alternative diagnosis. Isolated cases of pericarditis, pulmonary, gastrointestinal involvement and peripheral neuropathy have been reported (4).

**Associated diseases**

EF is mainly associated with haematologic disorders, namely aplastic anaemia, thrombocytopenia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia and myelomonocytic leukemia, thrombocytopenic purpura, pancytopenia (3,4,11). The co-
existence of EF with hematologic and non-hematologic malignancies, such as breast cancer (13), colorectal carcinoma (14) and T-cell lymphomas, may represent a paraneoplastic phenomenon, but the available information is limited to allow for clear cut screening recommendations.

**EF in children**

The disease may affect children, albeit rarely, with similar clinical, laboratory and therapeutic features. The differences are that girls are predominantly affected, arthritis is less frequent, there is more pronounced histopathologic involvement of muscles, hematologic associations are lacking and most patients progress to a benign, scleroderma-like cutaneous fibrosis (15).

**Laboratory work-up**

**Laboratory findings**

The most characteristic laboratory findings in EF are peripheral eosinophilia (63% of the patients), hypergamma-globulinemia (35% of the patients) and elevated ESR (29% of the patients) (4). However, peripheral eosinophilia is not indispensable to make a diagnosis of EF and does not correlate with clinical severity (16). Therapy initiation results in rapid normalization of the test results in most of the patients, but dissociation between the laboratory findings and the clinical course is not uncommon. Other rare laboratory findings include low titer of antinuclear antibodies, rheumatoid factor and inflammatory markers that may be occasionally present, but are of an insignificant importance.

**Imaging**

Imaging studies are becoming an indispensable part of the diagnosis of EF, particularly in dubious cases. MRI findings are specific and include fascial thickening, signal hyperintensity and fascial contrast enhancement. Besides its diagnostic value, MRI reflects the clinical activity of the disease and could be used to monitor the treatment response. In relapse cases, MRI is useful in assessing whether a genuine relapse or a new, unrelated condition has occurred (17).

**Histopathology**

Full-thickness incisional skin biopsy gives the definitive diagnosis in both typical and atypical cases. The sample should be deep and should include a continuity of the skin, fat, fascia and superficial muscle.

The histologic findings depend on the stage of progression of cutaneous lesions. Early acute stages show oedema and a mixed inflammatory infiltrate of lymphocytes, plasma cells, histiocytes and occasionally eosinophils in the fascia. Eosinophils may not be present but there is a strong correlation with their count in the blood. Later on, generalized sclerosis and thickening of the fascia and the adjacent structures replace the inflammatory changes and the histologic picture presents with thick hyaline collagen bundles with small islands of fat cells trapped in between them (11,3,4). Similar changes, but to a mild degree, develop in the connective tissue components of the underlying subcutaneous tissues, the perimysium and the endomysium (11). Vascular cuffing with inflammatory cells may be observed. A comparison study of the histopathologic features of L-tryptophan induced and non-L-tryptophan induced EF showed that subcutaneous-pacutaneous involvement and dermal and septal neural inflammation are characteristic features of the L-tryptophan associated type (4).

**Diagnosis and differential diagnosis**

The diagnosis of EF is suspected in a patient who presents with characteristic cutaneous changes and consistent laboratory findings. It should be confirmed with full-thickness incisional biopsy or characteristic MRI findings. Several authors have proposed standard diagnostic criteria for the clinical diagnosis of EF, but their wide acceptance is limited by the insufficient sample of patients (Table 1).

Every criterion is more or less relative; however the characteristic histological findings from deep skin biopsy samples and the MRI findings are considered major criteria for diagnosis.

The clinical presentation of EF may well mimic other far more common syndromes and this often precludes a timely diagnosis. This may result in misdiagnosing the patient and, hence, in underreporting and lower prevalence estimates for EF. The localized and systemic forms of scleroderma are the most important entities in the differential diagnosis. Their differentiation form EF is based on the lack of peripheral eosinophilia. Furthermore, systemic sclerosis is characterized by Raynaud phenomenon, telangiectasias, abnormal capillaroscopy and visceral involvement, findings that are usually absent in EF. Eosinophilia-myalgia syndrome and toxic oil syndrome are epidemic disorders, caused by ingestion of L-tryptophan and rapeseed oil, respectively. They have similar clinical and histopathological presentation to EF, but are associated
with serious visceral involvement and grave outcome. Derma
tal mucinosis and higher aldolase levels with lower
phosphokinase levels are other distinguishing features (11).
Sclerodema Buschke affects the face and the upper part of
the body and the process is restricted to the skin. The dis-
ease is usually associated with diabetes and infections.
Eosinophilic myositis and penomyositis do not involve the
fascia (11). Erysipelas is to be considered at the early stages
in patients with unilateral involvement.

Treatment

Spontaneous resolution has been reported (4), but there are
not specific features to predict the outcome, which warrants

The mainstay of treatment for EF are the systemic
corticosteroids. Approximately 60% of the patients respond
to daily doses of 40-60 mg oral prednisolone with reduction of
oedema and induration of the affected skin. The clinical
response is better in patients who present early with pre-
dominant inflammatory lesions. Several weeks to months
of treatment are necessary for a full recovery. Most authors
start with 40-60 mg oral prednisolone and taper it gradually
as the disease improves. Additional topical therapy may
further increase the effect of the systemic therapy and usu-
ally comprises midacessol, dimethylsulphoxide, haprodis.

When the response to systemic steroids is poor or a ste-
roid-sparing effect is sought, alternative agents may be used
either alone, or as adjuvants to the steroid therapy. These
include hydroxychloroquine, azathioprine, methotrexate,
ciclosporin A, D-penicillamine and histamine 2 antagonists
(11,16). These drugs were used with variable success.

Recently, the antitumour necrosis factor alpha, infliximab,
was reported to cause a complete clinical and laboratory re-
mision of the inflammatory process after 1 year of treat-
ment (18).

Photochemotherapy, bath-PUVA and UVA1 in combina-
tion with isotretinoin and oral prednisone were successfully
used in patients with recalcitrant disease (19,20).

Joint contractures require surgical management and early
physical therapy to restore and/or maintain mobility.

Treatment in children should be started with prednisone at
doses of 2mg/kg/d. Tapering should be considered at norma-
ization of the laboratory parameters. D-penicillamine is a
safe alternative for steroid-refractory cases (15).

Prognosis

Proper treatment usually results in total resolution of the
clinical manifestations, but quite often induration may per-
sist for many months afterwards. A study of Endo et al. (2)
found the clinical variables that predict persistence of the fi-
brosis - presence of morphoealike skin lesions, younger age
at onset, truncal involvement, and presence of dermal fibro-
sclerosis on histopathologic specimen. The relapse rate has not been estimated.
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