SURGICAL TREATMENT OF ULCERATIVE COLITIS
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The ulcerative colitis has a frequency of 80 to 120 / 100 000 per year in some regions, and there are founded 15 new cases up on 100 000 people in Europe every year. Despite of success in conservative therapy, a big group of patients undergo surgical treatment. Absolute indications for surgery are the complications of ulcerative colitis (UC). General indications for surgical treatment are the failure and intractability of conservative therapy, bleeding, acute colitis, toxic mega colon, perforation, obstruction, dysphasia of intestinal mucosa, or carcinoma in the cases with more than 10 years with UC. The advancing to SMOF is poor prognostic factor, and the success of surgical treatment is defined by exact preoperative preparation. A problem is the need of resection of big bowel segment, the difficult choice for operative method including insurance of continence, the need of undergoing multiple-staged operations, and précising the surgical tactics in cases of emergency. The quality of life in patients with UC is increasing after colectomy. The prolonged postoperative results depend from success of the treatment of systematic manifestation from the disease, as well as the way of life of the patients.
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INTRODUCTION

The ulcerative colitis is first time described in 1859, by Samuel Wilks in Guy's hospital, London. There are some regions with frequency of 80 to 120 / 100 000 per year. It is a diffuse inflammatory disease of the colorectal mucosa, which clinically is manifested with diary, abdominal pain, febris, weight-loss, and rectorragia. The diagnosis is based up on data from clinical, endoscopical, radiological and morphological findings.

Acute Colitis

Patients with clinical evidence of actual or impending perforation should undergo urgent surgery. Severe acute colitis affects between 5 to 15 percent of patients with ulcerative colitis. The diagnosis of severe colitis is based on the criteria of Truelove and Witts (1) and is defined as colitis with more than six bloody stools per day, fever (temperature, >37.5°C), tachycardia (heart rate, >90 beats per minute), anemia (hemoglobin, <75 percent of normal), and elevated sedimentation rate (ESR, >30 mm per hour). When the colonic distention of the transverse colon exceeds 6 cm, the diagnosis becomes toxic megacolon (2). Surgery is required in 20 to 30 percent of patients with toxic colitis (5). Perforation in patients with toxic colitis has a high mortality rate, which ranges from 27 to 57 percent regardless of whether the perforation is contained or free.

Patients with toxic colitis receiving surgical intervention before perforation have a significantly better outcome than those operated on after perforation.

Patients whose condition worsens on medical therapy or who fail to make significant improvement after a period of 48 to 96 hours of appropriate medical therapy should be considered for surgery (3). The need for and timing of surgery in patients whose condition seems to "plateau" after a period of initial improvement often is difficult to judge. However, patients with more than eight stools per day or three to eight stools and a C-reactive protein > 45 mg/ml after three days of therapy have an 85 percent chance of requiring colectomy during the same hospitalization, regardless of whether corticosteroid or cyclosporine treatment is used (4). Most series define a period of 48 to 96 hours after which surgery is indicated if the patient fails to improve, although evidence specifying the most appropriate time period for a trial of medical therapy, especially with "second-line" agents, is lacking. Surgery is indicated in ulcerative colitis when medical therapy is ineffective. Intractability is one of the most common surgical indications for ulcerative colitis. Medical therapy can fail for several reasons. Symptoms may be insufficiently controlled despite an intensive medical regimen and the patient is unable to achieve an acceptable quality of life (6-11).

Alternatively, the response to treatment may be adequate, but the risks of chronic medical therapy (especially long-term corticosteroids) may be excessive. Patients also may be unable to tolerate the deleterious side effects of medical therapy. Patients who are noncompliant with treatment regimens might be candidates for surgical manage-
ment. The postoperative quality of life for patients with ulcerative colitis is improved after colectomy (12-16).

Cancer Risk

Patients with long-standing ulcerative colitis should undergo endoscopic surveillance. Although it is clear that patients with longstanding ulcerative colitis have an increased risk of colorectal cancer, its magnitude has been difficult to estimate. A recent meta-analysis estimated the risk of colorectal cancer for a patient with colitis to be 2 percent at 10 years, 8 percent at 20 years, and 18 percent after 30 years of disease (15-18).

Surveillance colonoscopy has been recommended in these patients despite a lack of clear evidence that shows surveillance prolongs survival in patients with ulcerative colitis (19).

Carcinomas tend to be detected at an earlier stage in persons who are undergoing surveillance colonoscopy, and these patients have a better prognosis. Surveillance colonoscopies should be ideally performed when the disease is in remission to minimize confusion regarding neoplasia (20).

2. Patients with ulcerative colitis who develop a stricture, especially with long-standing disease, should undergo resection. Strictures develop in 5 to 10 percent of patients with ulcerative colitis (20-26).

Although the majority of strictures are benign, as many as 25 percent will be malignant, and malignant strictures account for up to 30 percent of cancers occurring in ulcerative colitis patients (24-29).

Strictures that arise on a background of long-standing disease, originate proximal to the splenic flexure, or cause obstructive symptoms are more likely to be malignant (50).

Endoscopic biopsy of strictures can reveal dysplasia or malignancy but may be unreliable because of sampling error and the more infiltrating nature of colitis-associated malignancies (28).

Surgical options

Emergency

The most appropriate operative procedure for emergency surgery in ulcerative colitis is total or subtotal abdominal colectomy with end ileostomy. The surgical alternatives in the acute setting are designed to restore patient health with the greatest reliability and minimal risk while preserving reconstructive options after the patient has recovered (30).

Subtotal colectomy with end ileostomy and Hartmann's closure of the distal bowel or creation of a mucous fistula is a safe and effective approach (31).

This procedure removes the majority of the inflamed bowel with a comparatively straightforward operation and avoids pelvic dissection as well as an intestinal anastomosis (34). Compared with intraperitoneal closure of the rectal stump, extraperitoneal placement of a closed rectosigmoid stump may be associated with fewer pelvic septic complications and facilitates subsequent pelvic dissection (32).

Transanal drainage of the distal stump may further decrease the risk of pelvic sepsis (35).

The resected colon specimen should be histopathologically examined for confirmation of ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease because the likelihood of an altered diagnosis is appreciable after colectomy (36-39).

In patients with ulcerative colitis, a completion proctectomy and ileal pouch-anal anastomosis (IPAA) often can be safely performed at a later date to remove the remaining disease and restore intestinal continuity (37).

If the diagnosis is Crohn's disease and the rectum is reasonably compliant and distensible, consideration may be given to an ileorectal anastomosis (40).

II. Elective Surgery

1. Total proctocolectomy with ileostomy is an appropriate surgical alternative for patients with ulcerative colitis. Proctocolectomy with ileostomy has been the conventional operative approach for patients with ulcerative colitis and may be considered a benchmark procedure to which all other operations are compared (29-34). It has been established as a safe, curative operation that permits most patients to live a full, active lifestyle (21,24,33).

Although restorative proctocolectomy with IPAA has become increasingly popular during the past two decades, proctocolectomy with ileostomy can still be considered the first-line procedure for patients who choose not to undergo a restorative proctocolectomy and for those at significant risk for pouch failure, such as patients with impaired anal sphincter muscles, previous anorectal disease, or limited physiologic reserve secondary to comorbid conditions (41-43).

The operation, however, does have recognized complications.

Although stoma-associated problems, such as intestinal obstruction, the cancer-related complications, intestinal fistula, persistent pain, unhealed perineal wound, sexual and bladder dysfunction, and infertility are common to any abdominal/pelvic procedure also have been recognized (44).

In one recent study of 44 patients, the long-term complication rate of proctocolectomy with permanent ileostomy was significantly lower than restorative proctocolectomy (26 vs. 52 percent) (45).

2. Total proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis is an appropriate operation for most patients with ulcerative colitis. Total proctocolectomy with IPAA has become the most commonly performed procedure for patients with ulcerative colitis requiring elective surgery (5,16).

The operation is relatively safe and durable, associated with an acceptable morbidity rate (19 to 27 percent an extremely low mortality rate (0.2-0.4 percent), and a quality of life that approaches that of the normal population. The complications of the procedure include those of any major abdominal operation: risks arising from the pelvic dissection, such as infertility or sexual dysfunction, and pouch-specific complications (45).
a) Total proctocolectomy with IPAA may be appropriately offered to selected ulcerative colitis patients with concomitant colorectal cancer. Studies examining the use of IPAA in patients with invasive cancers of the colon or upper rectum without distant metastases have yielded somewhat conflicting findings. In several series, ulcerative colitis patients with a concomitant carcinoma had a rate of postoperative complications and functional results comparable to colitis patients without cancer; metastatic disease developed in a small number of patients. In contrast, a separate study revealed that nearly 20 percent of ulcerative colitis patients with cancer who underwent an IPAA subsequently died of metastatic disease (15,22,45).

A more conservative management approach has been advocated by some who recommend an abdominal colectomy with ileostomy followed by a restorative proctectomy after an observation period of at least 12 months to better assure that no recurrent disease develops. Metastatic disease is generally considered a contraindication to IPAA (23,35).

These patients should usually be managed with segmental colectomy or abdominal colectomy with anastomosis to facilitate early discharge and allow them to spend the rest of their lives relatively free of complications. Another group of patients who may not be eligible for IPAA are those with invasive carcinomas of the mid or low rectum, because basic principles of cancer surgery may be compromised (29,44).

Adjuvant radiotherapy, if indicated, should be performed preoperatively whenever possible, because postoperative radiotherapy is associated with a high incidence of pouch loss secondary to radiation enteritis and poor pouch function. Ulcerative colitis patients with cecal cancers represent another unique subgroup of patients (28,48).

If a long segment of adjacent distal ileum with its mesenteric vessels must be sacrificed, difficulties with positioning of the reservoir into the pelvis may ensue, and an ileostomy may be necessary if a tension-free anastomosis cannot be attained (12,50).

b) Total proctocolectomy with IPAA may be appropriately offered to selected elderly patients with ulcerative colitis. Many groups have demonstrated that IPAA in the elderly patient is safe and feasible. Chronologic age should not itself be used as an exclusion criterion. However, careful consideration should be given to other comorbidities, as well as the patient's mental status and anal sphincter function (16,43).

c) Mucoseotomy and double-stapled procedures are both appropriate techniques in most circumstances. The potential advantages of the double-stapled approach include enhanced technical ease because it avoids mucosectomy and the perineal phase of the operation, there is less tension on the anastomotic suture line, and possibly improved functional results (15).

Sphincter injury is minimized and the anal transition zone with its abundant supply of sensory nerve endings is preserved. Three prospective, randomized trials have demonstrated no significant difference in perioperative complications or functional results for patients in whom a mucosectomy was performed vs. those patients in whom the proximal anal canal mucosa was preserved (1,41,45).

d) Pouch configuration may be chosen based on individual preference. Although the initial ileal reservoir created by Parks in the late 1970s was a triple-loop S-pouch, other pouch configurations have been described in an attempt to reduce pouch complications and improve functional outcome. These include the double-loop J-pouch, the lateral isoperistaltic H-pouch, and the quadruple-loop W-pouch (33).

S-pouches were initially plagued with evacuation problems associated with a long (5 cm) exit conduit, frequently requiring pouch catheterization. With shortening of the exit conduit to 2 cm, mandatory catheterization has been substantially reduced. The long outlet tract formed in the H-pouch also was associated with pouch distention, stasis, and pouchitis (25).

The W-pouch has been advocated because of a greater capacity. However, two randomized trials comparing the J-pouch and W-pouch did not substantiate an improvement in functional outcomes. An S-pouch can provide additional length (2-4 cm) compared with the J-pouch and may help minimize anastomotic (17).

e) A diverting loop ileostomy may be reasonably omitted in some patients. Retrospective and prospective trials suggest that one-stage restorative proctocolectomy can be safely performed in selected patients by experienced surgeons. The one-stage procedure is associated with a more challenging early recovery, as well as a slightly increased rate of anastomotic disruption and pelvic sepsis (15-21).

Although some disagree, these complications should usually be managed with fecal diversion because of concerns about compromised functional outcome and resultant pouch loss. Despite aggressive nonoperative and operative measures, the estimated cumulative three-, five- and ten-year rate of pouch failure in all patients with septic complications is 20, 31, and 39 percent, respectively (36).
This reduced role is the result of the success of the percutaneous balloon angioplasty technique (59) and the high rate of complications associated with early-stage IAPAs (16). The complications seen in current and early-stage IAPAs are similar to those described in the balloon technique (16). The early-stage IAPA technique has been shown to reduce complications, while the technical difficulties and the patient's not on high
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