WORK WITH VIDEO DISPLAY TERMINALS AND REFRACTION ABNORMALITIES

Dimitrova T.1, Z. Zlatarova²

¹Department Medico-biological sciences, ²Department of Neurosurgery, Otorhinolaryngology and Ophthalmology, Medical University of Varna

Reviewed by: Assoc. Prof. E. Kontrova

ABSTRACT

Working with video display terminals becomes more and more widely spread in the ever-changing world of labour. Aim: To study the ophthalmologic status in relation to the work conditions. The ophthalmological status was studied of 455 workers, divided in two groups: I group: 232 persons working half of their working time with video display terminals, with mean age of 42.08 ±0.8 years; II group (control): 223 persons working without overload of the optical analyser, with mean age of 41.5 ±0.9 years. Among those who passed a prophylactic ophthalmological examination, 168 (36.9%) persons were without diagnosed deviations in the refraction (emetropes). In 77 (21.8%) from the persons with deviations myopia was diagnosed, in 71 (25.9%) it was hypermetropia, in 27 (9.9%) it was astigmatism, and in 99 (36.1%) presbyopia. Prolonged and continuous (without a physiological regime of work and rest) work with a video display terminal affects the vision sharpness.
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Working with video display terminals becomes more and more widely spread in the ever-changing world of labour. Along with that, there also increase the closely connected with that kind of labour disorders of the optic analyser, back pains, muscular and joint disorders of the upper extremities, and neuroses. Research into the related to computerised labour problems of the vision and recognising the most susceptible to them people among the workers is a priority problem for medicine and ophthalmology.

AIM

To study the ophthalmological status in relation to the work conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Within the framework of the compulsory periodic prophylactic examinations the ophthalmological status was studied of 455 workers with mean age 41.77 ±0.62 years, total length of service 20.46 ±0.6 years, and specialised length of service 15.34 ±0.6 years. A questionnaire was given on the working conditions, lifestyle and hereditary chronic diseases.

I group: 232 persons working half of their working time with video display terminals, with mean age of 42.08 ±0.8 years; II group (control): 223 persons working without overload of the optical analyser, with mean age of 41.5 ±0.9 years.

RESULTS

After the ophthalmological examination those working with video display terminals and the control persons were divided according to the refraction into persons with myopia, hypermetropia, astigmatism, presbyopia and emetrope. Among those who passed a prophylactic ophthalmological examination, 168 (36.9%) persons were without diagnosed deviations in the refraction (emetropes). In 77 (21.8%) from the persons with deviations myopia was diagnosed, in 71 (25.9%) it was hypermetropia, in 27 (9.9%) it was astigmatism, and in 99 (36.1%) presbyopia. In group I women prevailed: 183 persons or 78.9%, in group II men prevailed: 144 persons or 64.6%.

Refraction abnormalities were established in 65.5% of the persons who worked longer than half of the established by law work time with video display terminals, and in 54.7% from the control group (Mann-Whitney U 23072 p<0.05). Similar results in relation to the higher frequency of refraction anomalies and neuroses among those working with video display terminals more than 2 hours every day were established by other authors as well (1,2,10,11,12).

The most common cause for the weakening of the vision sharpness is short-sightedness and according to data from
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with video display terminals and 13 (25%) of the persons working without them showed refraction anomaly (X square 4.752 Mann-Whitney U 617.5 p<0.05). The ratio between the chances showed that among the persons we studied it is those between 20 and 30 years of age and working with video display terminals that are more in danger of refraction abnormalities with RR 4.154 (C195% 1.06-10.743 p<0.05). According to data from other authors the patient who most often complaints of computer vision syndrome is a 38-year-old woman with an average stage of short-sightedness who uses a monitor about 5 hours a day (6).

The specialised length of service that includes work with a video display terminal has a statistically reliable influence on the probability for the appearance of a refraction disorder in general and is not connected with just such disorder. According to our data, the professional length of service working with a video display terminal does not alter the risk for the appearance of myopia, for example. For the alteration in the vision sharpness, according to other authors, the weekly hours spent at the computer are accountable, and not the years in the length of service when computers were used, or the type of the work task performed (7,9).

Stress at the workplace assessed subjectively by the persons who had a prophylactic examination on a scale from 1 to 10 also reliably affects the spread of myopia among the people in group I. Those who gave a 7 or more for the strain at work, myopia was diagnosed in 29 (32.6%) of the examined against 12 (18.8%) of the other persons from group I (p<0.05). Psycho-emotional strain is considered as a risk precipitating factor of the work task when working with a video display terminal by other authors as well (4,5,10,11).

Among persons in hypostress refraction anomaly was diag-

osed in 14 (77.8%) persons in group I as compared to 18
(46.2%) from group II (p<0.05). Among those working in
hyperstress conditions myopia was established in 29 (32.6%)
persons from group I as compared to 2 (5.1%) persons from
group II (p<0.001). Among those who worked without strain
of the optical analyser the probability to establish disorder of
the refraction was 4.083 (C195% 1.139-14.644 p<0.05) in
hypostress and 8.942 (C195% 2.015-39.688 p<0.01) in
hyperstress. In persons who displayed eustress no differences
were found out in the incidence of disorders in the vision
sharpness between groups I and II. The analysis of the deviations in the vision sharpness shows that in group I the abnormalities of the vision are without statistically reliable differences in the distribution between the two sexes. Seventy-two (50.0%) of the men and 50
(63.3%) of the women were affected (p>0.05). In group I
the ratio is similar but there prevail the groups of persons with
refraction abnormalities: 30 (61.2%) of the men and 122
(66.7%) of the women (p<0.05).

Men working with strain of their vision are older (46.3±1.9
years) than women (41.1±0.8 years p<0.05), while in
the control group there is no reliable difference in the mean age
of both sexes (men are with mean age of 40.9±1.2 years,
and women of 42.4±1.5 years p>0.05). In group I
short-sightedness affects more often the women 52 (28.4%) against
3 (6.1%) in men (p<0.001).
Short-sightedness is established more often in women working with a video display terminal: 52 (28.4%) from group I and 11 (13.9%) from group II (p<0.05). In men those who strain their optical analyzer more frequently show long-sightedness: 12 (24.5%) from group I against 10 (6.9%) from group II (p<0.001). According to the literature the female sex is considered to be more susceptible to showing vision fatigue when working with a video display terminal (5,6,8).

Presbyopia is more common in women from the control group (24.1% against 13.7% p 0.039) although no difference is established between the mean ages of the women from group I (41.07 ±0.8 years) against those from the control group (42.4 ±1.5 years, p>0.05).

The female sex is also the one more frequently affected by hypermetropia in the control group. It is 22.8% of women and only 6.9% of men not straining their vision during work that show long-sightedness (p<0.001).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Prolonged and continuous (without a physiological regime of work and rest) work with a video display terminal affects the vision sharpness.

The evaluation of the risk with reference to visual abnormalities when working with a video display terminal along with the length of the exposition should also include the subjective factors like sex and age of the exposed individuals.

A special approach is needed for the risk groups. Additional research needs to done to establish the target groups as well as the dynamics in the refraction in persons working with a video display terminal. Workers with refraction anomalies should be given appropriate working conditions for the use of a monitor: enough lighting, ergonomic work place, exact correction of the vision.
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