ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Resource allocation is closely related to the health inequalities issue and is an important anchor for reducing the inequities in the health system. Its basic principles include effectiveness, equity and utility. The aim of this study is to propose a system of indicators for Bulgarian health system, which could be used for resource allocation in the health care.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This study relies on a qualitative method, namely an expert opinion research. The inquiry was conducted at the end of 2014 and includes 41 experts in the field of health care in Bulgaria. The results are summarised and analysed by median and percentiles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: The results confirm the relationship between health inequalities, health inequities and resource allocation and the connection is the access to health services. Distribution of the resources in the health system should be based on the specific health needs of the population at a regional level. As a result of the expert opinion, a system of indicators for resource allocation is composed. It can be used for resource allocation in Bulgarian health care. The system has two main groups of indicators – for resource allocation among regions and for distribution of resources between health services, programmes and health sectors in one region. The indicators are summarised in 5 categories – general characteristics of the region, demographic, socio-economic, ecological characteristics and health status variables.

CONCLUSIONS: Fair and equitable resource allocation among regions is an important instrument for reducing health inequities. But for improving the access to health services, all decisions have to consider population health needs, so the health system will be “patient-centred”.
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between different sectors within health care; and (iii) distribution between different patient groups. These decisions are taken at national level and they refer to supply of health services. The allocation process is driven from trends towards efficiency and equity in health care (3).

According to Sheldon and Smith (2000), resource allocation is one of the most disputable challenges in health care. It is closely related to the health inequalities issue and is an important anchor for reducing the inequities in health system. In this regard, resource allocation depends on the interpretation of health equity - equal opportunities for access to health services or equal chances of people to be healthy. In the first case, the allocation depends on morbidity and provision of equal services for equal needs; in the second – the distribution should mitigate the gaps between the most favorable and the most vulnerable population groups.

The basic principles of resource allocation can be summarised as follows (6):

1. Active resource allocation is driven by the need to achieve efficiency and equity in healthcare provision.
2. Risk-adjusted capitation is the most common method used internationally. Risk adjustment should reflect health needs.
3. Identification of needs should be based on available epidemiological and scientific data rather than apparent health care expenditure or utilisation information.
4. Equitable resource allocation is imperative if the model is to be widely accepted.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) has defined ethical principles of resource allocation, which include effectiveness (maximising the benefits for population health), equity (minimising the differences between population groups), and utility (7). The implementation of these principles requires regular assessment and active involvement of stakeholders.

There is a great diversity of variables applied in resource allocation models. Despite this variety, the most used variables (especially in countries-members of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) can be summarised as follows (2):

1. **Demographic variables**
   - sex and age – every model includes age as a variable, which predicts health needs. Sex is not so often used because the different regions have similar male-female ratio. In many countries, there are evidence-based data about the relationship between sex, age, and health services utilisation;
   - ethnicity – variables such as race, citizenship, and country of birth are used in resource allocation models (for example, in New Zealand and in Sweden);
   - health status variables – morbidity, mortality, disability, etc.

2. **Socio-economic variables** – usually the models include occupation (for example, in the United Kingdom and in Sweden) and social status (for example, in Sweden), which are considered as tightly related to the utilisation of health services. Other socio-economic variables such as education, profession, income and consumption are rarely used due to lack of data in the short run. Furthermore, education, profession and income are viewed as interrelated and the models are usually built only with one of these variables (for example, in Sweden).

3. **Geographical variables** – they can explain variations in some aggregate variables (as mortality or morbidity), as well as the effect of some demographic and socio-economic variables. Moreover, in different regions, health service provision is different and the costs also vary (for example, in the United Kingdom).

The aim of this study is to propose a system of indicators, which could be used for resource allocation in Bulgarian health care (social health system with universal coverage). In addition to this, the study investigates the relationship between health inequalities, health inequities and resource allocation, based on the health care experts’ opinion.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study relies on a qualitative method, namely an expert opinion research, using semi-structured interview as a method for information collection. The interview was conducted at the end of 2014 in Bulgaria.
A crucial moment in qualitative research is the selection of the experts. The qualitative research does not have representative character; its purpose is to receive competent expert opinion (1, 4). 60 experts in health care in Bulgaria were invited to participate in the study, including: researchers in health care, managers of health care establishments, head nurses in hospitals, representatives of the National Health Insurance Fund, Regional Health Insurance Funds, Regional Health Inspectorates, municipalities, professional organisations, and non-government organisations in health care. These are representatives of important stakeholders in the Bulgarian health system.

From all invited experts, 41 took part in the inquiry. They are representatives of all stakeholders except municipalities, so the results can be interpreted as significant from an expert point of view. The inquiry includes two groups of questions regarding:

- expert opinion about the relationship between health inequalities, health inequities and resource allocation in health care – Likert scale is used;
- identifying the indicators, which can be used for resource allocation between regions (districts) in the country and between health sectors, services and programs in the regions (districts). The results are summarised and analysed by median and percentiles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Several statements about the relationship between inequities in access to health services, health inequalities, and resource allocation are formulated and included in the inquiry (Table 1). For each statement, the experts should point out their level of agreement or disagreement. Likert scale with 5 possible answers is used (Table 1).

The results confirm the relationship between health inequalities, health inequities, and resource allocation and the connection is the access to health services. Distribution of resources in the health system should be based on specific health needs of the population at a regional level. Thus, the resource allocation should be implemented on two levels – on one hand, among regions (districts) in the country, and on the other hand, between health programmes, sectors or services, and population groups in the region.

Existing disproportions between districts in the country hinder the access to health services in some regions and in smaller settlements, so the fair resource allocation based on the health needs and regional particularities can contribute to reducing access barriers and thus, health inequities.

The next part of the inquiry concerns indicators, which should be taken into account by resource allocation in the health system. Based on existing allocation models in other countries, a list with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. In resource allocation, regional particularities and health needs of the population in the region should be taken into account.</td>
<td>65.85%</td>
<td>34.15%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The starting point of resource allocation in the health system should be the region (district).</td>
<td>43.90%</td>
<td>39.02%</td>
<td>12.20%</td>
<td>4.88%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. To reduce health inequities, barriers in access to health services should be removed.</td>
<td>53.66%</td>
<td>36.58%</td>
<td>7.32%</td>
<td>2.44%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. If the access to health services is improved at regional level, the inequalities in health status can be reduced.</td>
<td>36.58%</td>
<td>53.66%</td>
<td>9.76%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Fair resource allocation among regions is of the crucial importance for improving the access to health services.</td>
<td>39.02%</td>
<td>58.54%</td>
<td>2.44%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
possible variables has been formulated. The experts were invited to point out which indicators should be included in the distribution of resources among regions (districts) and which are important for the distribution between different health sectors (health promotion and prevention, outpatient and inpatient care, primary and specialised care, etc.) in one region (district). The answers are interpreted as specific “vote” of the experts and every proposed indicator receives a definite number of polls. According to these polls, the decision about which indicators could be used for resource allocation is made. Some of the included indicators have not been chosen by the experts. Moreover, the experts proposed additional indicators.

Analyses of the results are made with median and 25th and 75th percentiles. As a value separating the higher half of a data sample, the median in this case is 21 or every indicator which has 21 or more “votes” falls into the upper half. We use also the 25th percentile which is even to 11 and 75th percentile which is 31. Our decision is to include in the system these indicators, which have collected more than 50% of the experts “votes”, i.e. are above the median. They are presented in Table 2. The indicators are summarised in 5 sections – general characteristics of the region, demographic, socio-economic, ecological characteristics, and health status variables. Two additional indicators are defined as well.

Additionally, the experts proposed several indicators, which can be used for resource allocation among regions (districts) in the country:

- **chronic diseases in the region** – this indicator together with “proportion of population with disability” will make the assessment more precise and more closely related to the population health needs for specialised medical care;
- **mortality in different age groups** – the indicator is connected with the so-called amendable mortality and curable morbidity. The last two indicators can be much more useful for resource allocation among regions and between health sectors. However, data for these indicators are not available in Bulgaria, so additional studies need to be performed;
- **population age structure (in the region and in different settlements)** – we accept this indicator as important for distribution of resources between health sectors and services in one region. Included in the system of indicators, it could contribute to the assessment of health needs in the region;
- **birth rate in the region** – there are solid grounds to include this indicator in the system. It gives an idea of the needs for obstetric and paediatric health services in specialised outpatient and inpatient care. Besides, maternal and child health is one of the priorities in the national health system – a fact, which also should be taken into account by building the system of indicators.

As a result of the analyses, the system of indicators for resource allocation is composed. The system has two main groups of indicators – for resource allocation among regions and for distribution of resources between health services, programmes and health sectors in one region (Table 2). The system includes these indicators, which have more than 50% of the “votes” of the experts (or which are above the median) and several other indicators, suggested by the experts.
The last two indicators are additional because there is no such data in Bulgaria and more studies are needed.
CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the expert inquiry, a system of indicators has been composed. It could be used for resource allocation in the Bulgarian health system. The two groups of indicators are intended for distribution of resources among regions in the country and between health sectors (health promotion, outpatient and inpatient care, primary and specialised care, etc.) in one region and could be useful for preparation of national and regional health maps. The implementation of the system of indicators needs some additional studies to determine the values of indicators in the different regions of the country. On this ground, a scale with variations of indicators could be composed. The quantitative assessments are the basis for creating specific formulas for distribution of financial resources in the health system.

By resource allocation, several characteristics should be taken into account, namely specific characteristics of the region, its population and infrastructure, socio-economic development, ecological characteristics, health status of the population, each of these influencing health needs.

Fair and equitable resource allocation among regions is an important instrument for reducing health inequities. But for improving the access to health services, all decisions have to consider population health needs, so the health system will be “patient-centred”.
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