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Abstract
Severe obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a life-threatening condition in children and adults. Recently, a rising interest emerges in the positional OSA (POSA) as a specific clinical manifestation a relatively common sleep breathing disorder in young and adult patients. In the present concise survey, some essential characteristics of POSA reported in the newly published literature are described. A special attention is paid to the social epidemiology, diagnosis, and modern treatment of POSA from an interdisciplinary point of view.
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Definition
Positional sleep apnea is functionally defined as a total apnea-hypopnea index $\geq 5$ with a $> 50\%$ apnea-hypopnea index reduction between the supine and nonsupine postures, and an apnea-hypopnea index that normalizes down to $< 5$ in the nonsupine posture (1).

Positional obstructive sleep apnea classifications
Four criteria for the positional obstructive sleep apnea (POSA) such as Amsterdam Positional OSA Classification (APOC), supine apnea-hypopnea index twice the non-supine apnea-hypopnea index (2), Cartwright plus the non-supine apnea-hypopnea index $< 5$ (1), and the overall apnea-hypopnea index severity at least 1.4 times the non-supine severity based on American Academy Sleep Medicine (AASM) 2007 and 2012 hypopnea scoring definitions are systematically compared by using 142 in-home polysomnography records (3). The prevalence of POSA based on the Cartwright and the overall apnea-hypopnea index severity criteria is approximately 60% in those with at least mild OSA by apnea-hypopnea index 2007 and apnea-hypopnea index 2012. A POSA prevalence reduction by 16% for apnea-hypopnea index 2012 versus apnea-hypopnea index 2007 is attributed to higher mild OSA incidence.

Both Cartwright and overall apnea-hypopnea index severity criteria for POSA based on AASM 2007
and 2012 hypopnea scoring definitions exhibit the strongest sensitivity while both overall apnea-hypopnea index severity and Cartwright plus the non-supine apnea-hypopnea index < 5 criteria for POSA based on these definitions present with the strongest specificity for identification of the patients expected to have sleep disordered breathing severity reduction by 25% or 35% with positional therapy (3).

In a retrospective, single-centre cohort study of 253 OSA patients, there is a prevalence of POSA of 69% when applying APOC and of 64% when applying Cartwright’s classification (4). There are inverse correlations between POSA and body mass index as well as between POSA and apnea-hypopnea index.

Epidemiology of POSA

In a retrospective study of 326 patients, there is POSA in 49 of 99 patients (in 49,49%) with mild, 14 of 72 patients (in 19,44%) with moderate, and 5 of 77 patients (in 6,49% of the cases) with severe OSA (1).

Among 279 consecutive patients with OSA, 216 subjects (77,42% of the cases) meet the criteria for POSA (5). Of them, 158 (73,15%) present with supine-predominant OSA and the rest 58 subjects (26,85% of the cases) do with supine-isolated OSA. The latter have lower arousal indices, but poorer quality of sleep, and are more depressed and anxious when compared with the supine-predominant OSA subjects.

The relative share of POSA patients among OSA ones varies in the recent literature available, e.g. 67 out of 120 (55,83%) (6), 321 out of 574 (55,92%) (7), 96 out of 144 OSA patients (66,67%) (8) as well as 733 out of 1184 supine predominant patients (61,91%) (9). The average time in supine position is by 37 min longer in the POSA group than in non-POSA one.

In the population-based HypnoLaus Sleep Cohort in Switzerland, POSA is detected in 918 out of 1224 OSA subjects aged 40-85 years (in 75,00%), while the exclusive POSA in 441 of these 1224 OSA ones (in 36,03% of the cases) (10). In multivariate analyses, lower apnea-hypopnea index and lower body mass index are associated with POSA and exclusive POSA in males.

Within a 52-month retrospective study based on self-administered home-based respiratory polygraphy records, a prevalence of POSA of 54,6% among OSA patients is established (11). The patients with POSA are younger, have a statistically reliably lower body mass index (30,3±0,9 versus 35,3±1,2 kg/m²; p < 0,0001), and spend less time with oxygen saturation < 90% (8,8 versus 28,7±6,7; p = 0,0038). These patients spend 43% of total recording time in the supine position.

Several authors agree with this generalization concerning POSA patients’ features, too (6,7,9). Besides POSA patients present with a less severe OSA.

Diagnosis of POSA

Snoring severity index, clinical nasal obstruction, septal deviation, conchal hypertrophy, and allergic rhinitis scores, overall apnea-hypopnea index and apnea-hypopnea index in supine and non-supine positions, daytime sleepiness scores, and body mass index are comparatively evaluated in 44 male OSA patients at a mean age of 48,0±6,8 years (range 31 to 60 years), 22 with POSA and 22 with non-POSA (12). The apnea-hypopnea index is statistically significantly lower in POSA than in non-POSA males (p < 0,03). Spearman correlation analysis revealed there is a statistically significant negative correlation between allergic rhinitis score and POSA (Spearman coefficient of r = -0,40; p < 0,0001) as well as a positive correlation between the apnea-hypopnea index and body mass index (Pearson coefficient of r = 0,32; p < 0,05).

The result from a comparative retrospective study of 30 POSA and 45 non-POSA patients by means of polysomnography and computed tomography demonstrate statistically significant differences (p < 0,05) between both groups in terms of the apnea-hypopnea index, lateral apnea-hypopnea index, soft palate length, cross-sectional palatopharyngeal area, and coronal diameter of the palatopharyngeal area at the narrowest glossopharyngeal part (13).

Only the apnea-hypopnea index, lateral apnea-hypopnea index and soft palate length values are lower in POSA patients. The lateral apnea-hypopnea index correlates statistically significantly with the cross-sectional area (r = -0,306; p = 0,008) and coronal diameter (r = -0,398; p < 0,001) of the palatopharyngeal area, the cross-sectional area (r = -0,241; p = 0,038) and coronal diameter (r = -0,297; p = 0,010) of the narrowest glossopharyngeal part, the coronal glossopharyngeal diameter (r = 0,284; p = 0,013), body mass index (r = 0,273; p = 0,018), soft palate length (r = 0,284; p = 0,014), and val-
The prevalence rate of POSA in 1052 Korean OSA adults is 75.6% with 39.9% having overall apnea-hypopnea index normalized to < 5/hour while in supine position (14). POSA patients have milder OSA, older age, and lower body mass index than non-POSA ones as POSA does not influence upon daytime sleepiness, depressive symptoms, anxiety, and health-related quality of life. There are statistically significant differences in supine sleep time depending on the positional tendency of OSA.

The retrospective study of 171 patients with obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS) in Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, between July 2012 and June 2014 identifies 47 patients (27.49%) of the cases with P-OSAHS (15). There are significant differences between POSAHS patients and non-POSAHS ones in terms of body mass index, neck circumference, apnea-hypopnea index, lowest oxygen saturation, percentage of time with oxygen saturation below 90%, and minimal anterior-posterior airway glossopharyngeal dimension (p < 0.05). The apnea-hypopnea index is the only predictive parameter for P-OSAHS (p < 0.01). The incidence of P-OSAHS differs statistically significantly between patients with mild or moderate, severe and extremely severe disease (81.82% versus 45.28% versus 5.21%; p < 0.05).

In a retrospective study in Japan, 104 obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) patients are categorized as positional or non-positional patients based on the following criteria: age, body mass index, arterial hypertension, tongue enlargement, palatine tonsil hypertrophy, pharyngeal tonsil hypertrophy, obstruction by Muller’s maneuver, and polysomnography (16). The patients with body mass index < 25 kg/m² are clearly more frequent among positional than among non-positional OSAS and present more commonly with tongue enlargement, palatine tonsil hypertrophy and obstruction by Muller’s maneuver.

Within a cross sectional study of 278 OSA patients, 147 patients present with POSA (52.87% of the cases) (17). These patients are statistically significantly younger (p = 0.005), have lower body mass index (p = 0.0001), lower prevalence of arterial hypertension (p = 0.006), lower Berlin (p = 0.01) and STOP (p = 0.001) questionnaire scores as well as smaller neck (p = 0.005) and waist circumferences (p = 0.009) than non-POSA patients.

Recent advances in POSA treatment

Recent technological advances renew interest in positional therapy because of the invention of new sophisticated vibratory positional therapy devices (18). These new devices show great promise with efficacy and markedly improve patient’s tolerance and long-term adherence.

The NightBalance Sleep Position Trainer builds up vibrations during the positional therapy in a cohort of 51 consecutive patients with POSA (19). Of them, only 27 patients use the device throughout the long-term period. The baseline percentage of supine is lower than during polysomnography (22.9±16.2 versus 40.7±20.0%; p = 0.0005). It drops on short term (p = 0.0001) without further change on long term. Apnea-hypopnoea index calculation reveals that two patients would not have OSA at home. Ten patients are cured and demonstrate higher response on vibrations. Only 29 patients are adherent and show higher response on vibrations and less side effects.

The efficacy of a sleep position trainer is assessed by polysomnography in 58 patients with positional obstructive sleep apnea during an one-year period (20). Nine patients (15.52% of the cases) stop using the this device. After one year, polysomnography performed in 34 middle-aged and overweight patients with overall apnea/hypopnea index of 16/hour reveals a statistically significant reduction down to 6/hour (p < 0.001). The median percentage of supine sleep decreases statistically significantly to 1% (p < 0.001). The mean objective device use in 28 patients is 7.3±0.9 hours/night and 69±26% of the nights. Since the start of sleep position trainer treatment, a better patient’s sleep quality is reported by 75% of the cases.

In 2012, drug-induced sleep endoscopy is performed in 424 OSA patients of whom 257 are positional and 167 are non-positional patients (21). The positional patients are younger, have smaller neck circumference, lower body mass index and apnea-hypopnea index than the non-positional ones. The complete concentric collapse is less common in the positional patients (in 31.5%) as compared to the non-positional ones (in 46.1% of the cases). After upper airway surgery, OSA often is cured or improved to less severe POSA, however, surgical efficacy is lower in the positional patients than in the non-positional ones.

The effect of some passive maneuvers such as positional therapy, oral appliance therapy, and


and oxygen desaturation (p < 0.001) indices as well as significant and persistent improvements of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (p < 0.001), the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (p < 0.005) and the Function Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (p < 0.001).

A literature search in EMBASE since 2012 identifies ten studies demonstrating the effectiveness of positional therapy in POSA patients (24). Three publications propose different subclassification systems for POSA. There are cost benefits of incorporating this effective therapy into OSA management. Progress has been made in development of tools for measuring compliance. Creating a subclassification of POSA helps developing targeted therapy for patients and determining its use as standalone or adjunct therapy.

In conclusion, POSA represents a true interdisciplinary challenge for both modern theoretical sleep medicine research and clinical practice in adults. United efforts by rhinologists, pulmologists, neurologists, pharmacologists, radiologists as well as by sleep medicine specialists are needed.
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